HCM Perspective on Arterial Performance Measures

Richard Dowling July 11, 2005

HCM Principles

Principles of Quality of Service
Set in series of motions in 1995
Foundation for Year 2000 HCM

HCM Vocabulary

Performance Measures Measures of traffic operations Speed, density, queue, delay, etc. Measures of Effectiveness Used to compute level of service Level of Service Letter grade of quality of service

Level of Service vs. MOE's

 (95-14) LOS A to E included will be defined by a single measure of effectiveness (MOE) for each facility type.

(95-15) This single MOE will be related to the travelers' perception of the quality of service.

 (95-16) Los F shall be defined to occur when either the traveler perceived MOE exceeds some pre-defined threshold, or when demand exceeds capacity.

Desired MOE Characteristics

(95-17) Ideally, these MOE's will have the following attributes:

- Perceivable by the facility user (traveler)
- Measurable (in the field) or can be derived using measurable factors
- Well defined for HCM users
- Sensitive to changes in traffic, roadway, and control conditions.

Beyond Level of Service

 (95-18) The chapter for each facility type should include as many additional performance measures as practical:

- to provide additional information about highway operations and
- to provide links to broader evaluation, such as environmental, economic, or safety analyses, and to demand forecasting.

Time Based Measures

and a subserve a second of the basis of the left of the left of the basis of

(95-19) The MOE or at least one of the additional performance measures shall be a time-dimension related measure, such as travel time, speed, or delay.

System Analysis

 (95-21) It is desirable that some of the performance measures or MOE's will allow route, network or other combined performance assessment.

HCM 2000 Urban Street LOS

- Streets and Intersections
- Streets:
 - Mean Speed of through traffic
 - LOS = Percent of Free-Flow Speed Without Signals
 - Missing: other system performance measures
- Intersections
 - Delays and Queues by lane group
 - LOS = Mean Delay (averaged over all moves)
 - >80 secs/veh unacceptable at signal
 - Weak: system effects on intersection operation

Research Urban Street Performance Measures

■ NCHRP 3-70 -

Multimodal Level of Service for Urban Streets
NCHRP 3-79 –

Measuring And Predicting The Performance Of Automobile Traffic On Urban Streets

Jim Bonneson - TTI

NCHRP 3-70

 Objective: To determine urban street quality of service for auto, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian modes.

Approach:

 Conduct surveys of auto drivers, transit riders, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

Build models of perceived QOS.

NCHRP 3-70 – Auto Drivers

Aimee Flannery – GMU Top 6 Factors: Average Speed **, Median Presence, Lane Width, Stops **, Landscaping, Parking Lane Width.

NCHRP 3-70 – Transit Riders

Paul Ryus – Kittelson Assoc. Top 6 Factors: Average Speed **, Frequency, Reliability **, Crowding, Access (difficulty of accessing bus stop),

Span (Hours) of Service.

NCHRP 3-70 – Bicyclists

Bruce Landis – Sprinkle

- Top 8 Factors:
 - Separation from vehicle traffic
 - Motor vehicles volume next to bicyclist
 - Pavement condition of bike lane
 - Motor vehicle speeds **
 - Percent trucks
 - Widths of cross-streets at signals
 - Driveway density
 - Signal delay for bike **

NCHRP 3-70 – Pedestrians

Theo Petritsch – Sprinkle

- Top 8 Factors:
 - Presence of a sidewalk
 - Separation from vehicle traffic
 - Motor vehicle speeds **
 - Motor vehicle volume next to pedestrian
 - Driveway density
 - Signal delay for pedestrians **
 - Average number of lanes crossed at signals
 - Pedestrian density

Other Uses of the Street?

Crossing the street Auto Bus Bicycle Pedestrians Non-Through Traffic Lefts, Rights Bus transfers

Conclusions

HCM is silent (or weak) on many crucial signal system performance measures.

HCM makes it easy to ignore many of the users of a street

Research underway to address these weaknesses